

Print ISSN : 0972-8813
e-ISSN : 2582-2780

[Vol. 21(1) January-April 2023]

Pantnagar Journal of Research

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and
Applied Agricultural Research ISSN : 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar



ADVISORYBOARD

Patron

Dr. Manmohan Singh Chauhan, Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Members

Dr. A.S. Nain, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. A.K. Sharma, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. S.K. Kashyap, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. S.P. Singh, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. K.P. Raverkar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Sandeep Arora, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Alknanda Ashok, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Home Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Mabolica Das Trakroo, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. R.S. Jadoun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

EDITORIALBOARD

Members

Prof. A.K. Misra, Ph.D., Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India
Dr. Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India
Dr. Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India
Dr. Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, W P Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A
Dr. B.B. Singh, Ph.D., Visiting Professor and Senior Fellow, Dept. of Soil and Crop Sciences and Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.
Prof. Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India
Dr. D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community / Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India
Dr. Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium
Dr. Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India
Dr. G.K. Garg, Ph.D., Dean (Retired), College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IIRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines
Dr. Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India
Dr. Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India
Dr. M.P. Pandey, Ph.D., Ex. Vice Chancellor, BAU, Ranchi & IGKV, Raipur and Director General, IAT, Allahabad, India
Dr. Martin Mortimer, Ph.D., Professor, The Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom
Dr. Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Project Coordinator AICRP- LTFE, ICAR - Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India
Prof. Omkar, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Zoology, University of Lucknow, India
Dr. P.C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India
Dr. Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Cooperative Research Centre for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, University of South Australia, Australia
Dr. Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, U.S.A.
Dr. R.C. Chaudhary, Ph.D., Chairman, Participatory Rural Development Foundation, Gorakhpur, India
Dr. R.K. Singh, Ph.D., Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India
Prof. Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Iowa State University, U.S.A.
Dr. S.N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A.
Prof. Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India
Prof. V.D. Sharma, Ph.D., Dean Academics, SAI Group of Institutions, Dehradun, India
Dr. V.K. Singh, Ph.D., Head, Division of Agronomy, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India
Dr. Vijay P. Singh, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of Biological Agricultural Engineering, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.
Dr. Vinay Mehrotra, Ph.D., President, Vinlax Canada Inc., Canada

Editor-in-Chief

Dr. Manoranjan Dutta, Head Crop Improvement Division (Retd.), National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Managing Editor

Dr. S.N. Tiwari, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Assistant Managing Editor

Dr. Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Technical Manager

Dr. S.D. Samantray, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

CONTENTS

Selection parameters and heterosis for grain yield and yield contributing traits in yellow sarson (<i>Brassica rapa</i> var. yellow sarson)	1-9
SAMEER CHATURVEDI, NEHA DAHIYA, ANU SINGH, A. S. JEENA and USHA PANT	
Analysis of Morpho-Agronomic Variation and Genetic Divergence of French Bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) in Mid Hills of Uttarakhand	10-13
BHAWANA MAMGAIN, ASHISH MAMGAIN, B.P. NAUTIYAL, REKHA DHANI, NEELIMA and HITASHI KURIYAL	
Variability studies in fenugreek [<i>Trigonella foenum-graecum</i> L.]	14-18
PRIYANKA KHAIRIYA, J.P. SINGH and DHIRENDRA SINGH	
Quantitative estimation of chlorophyll and carotenoid contents in endangered medicinal plants <i>Gentiana kurroo</i> Royle and <i>Swertia chirayita</i> (Roxb.) H. Karst	19-26
NEETIKA NAUDIYAL and VANDANA A. KUMAR	
Integrated Nutrient Management for growth and yield enhancement of Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i>) under irrigated conditions of Doon Valley, Uttarakhand	27-31
PRIYANKA JUYAL, HIMANSHU VERMA and PRIYANKA DHAPOLA	
A study on potato mini-tuber and first-generation seed production using micro-plants of Kufri girdhari and K. giriraj	32-35
ANJULI AGARWAL	
Monitoring of insect pests infesting cowpea, <i>Vigna unguiculata</i> at Pantnagar	36-39
SUNIL KUMAR YADAV, AMIT KUMAR KAUSHIK and POONAM SRIVASTAVA	
Studies on flower morphological characters of different oilseed <i>Brassica</i> species and their effect on the abundance of bee species	40-44
RAHUL SAJWAN, M. S. KHAN, LAXMI RAWAT / and MANOJ JOSHI	
The effect of different formulations of herbal fumigant on progeny production of stored grain insect pest, <i>Sitophilus oryzae</i> (Linnaeus)	45-52
DEEPA KUMARI and S. N. TIWARI	
A study on constraints faced by apple growers in production and marketing of apple in Uttarakhand	53-57
RAHUL NEGI and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	
Genetic polymorphism of leptin gene in Badri cattle of Uttarakhand	58-61
P. DEORI, R.S. BARWAL, B.N. SHAHI and A.K. GHOSH	

Study on bottleneck analysis in Udaipuri goat of Uttarkhand	62-66
MOMI SARMA, B. N. SHAHI, D. KUMAR, R. S. BARWAL and SUNDIP KUMAR	
Bio -prediction of body weight from zoometric traits in Sirohi goats in southern Rajasthan	67-73
SHASHIKANT, R.K. NAGDA and C.V. SINGH	
Effect of different indigenous breeds of poultry layers on production and morphological egg quality traits in western U.P.	74-80
GIRIDHAR VASANT USENDI, SHIWANSHU TIWARI, RAJ KUMAR, D.S. SAHU, S.P. YADAV and KARTIK TOMAR	
Common diseases after wars	81-85
AJAY KUMAR UPADHYAY, MANSI KHULBE, SOURABH SWAMI, SHAKSHI THAPLIYAL and MAANSI	
Effect of nano zinc on haematological parameters of Wistar Rats	86-92
ABHIVYAKTI PATHAK, SEEMA AGARWAL and R.S. CHAUHAN	
Performance evaluation of battery-operated push type pigeon pea stem cutter	93-98
S. A. GAIKWAD, K. B. JHALA, ABHISHEK PANDEY and JAGRITI CHOUDHARY	
Assessing the feasibility and economics of tractor-drawn round straw balers for paddy and wheat crop harvesting	99-104
KUMUDINI VERMA, ATUL KUMAR SHRIVASTAVA and AVINASH GAUTAM	
A Review- Cold Storage System for small and marginal farmers	105-109
ABHISHEK MISHRA, RAJ NARAYAN PATERIYA, ALAKNANDA ASHOK, ANSHU SAXENA, VISHNU JI AWASTHI and PHALPHALE KETAN BIBHISHAN	
A review on friction stir welding parameters and their effect on microstructure behavior of weld joint	110-117
KUMUD JOSHI and R.S. JADOUN	
Content analysis and readability assessment of Indian Farmers Digest	118-122
POOJA GOSWAMI and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	
Decision making power of women in Raebareli and Pratapgarh district of Uttar Pradesh	123-128
SEEMA KANAUIA and SANGHAMITRA MOHAPATRA	

The effect of different formulations of herbal fumigant on progeny production of stored grain insect pest, *Sitophilus oryzae*(Linnaeus)

DEEPA KUMARI* and S. N. TIWARI

Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar-263145 (U.S. Nagar, Uttarakhand)

Corresponding author's email id:deepa5227@yahoo.co.in

ABSTRACT:The experiment was conducted to evaluate the long-term efficacy of different formulations seven essential oils and their combinations on the progeny productions of notorious stored grain pest, *Sitophilus oryzae* L. (Rice weevil). The essential oils of *Mentha arvensis* (Mint), *Mentha piperita* (Peppermint), *Mentha spicata* (Spearment), *Cymbopogon winterianus* (Citronella), *Eucalyptus citriodora* (Nilgiri), *Curcuma longa* (Turmeric) and *Pinus roxburghii* at 0.2, 0.4 per cent concentration (v/w) and in combination of 0.2+0.2, 0.14, 0.1, 0.08, 0.07, 0.06, 0.1+0.1 and 0.3+0.1 per cent each were evaluated for this purpose. It was observed that all the formulations of herbal fumigants except *M. arvensis* oil, *C. winterianus* oil, *C. longaoil* at 0.2 per cent, *M. arvensis* + *C. longa* at 0.2 per cent each, *M. piperita* + *C. longa*, *C. longa* + *P. roxburghii*, *C. longa* + *M. arvensis* at 0.1 per cent each, *C. longa* + *M. arvensis* at 0.3+0.1 per cent completely inhibited the progeny production of *S. oryzae*. Herbal fumigants used at 0.4 per cent with sole component of *M. arvensis*, *M. piperita*, *M. spicata*, *C. winterianus*, *E. citriodora*, *C. longa* or *P. roxburghii* oil or their two-component combination 0.2 per cent each, three component combination at 0.14 per cent each, four component combination at 0.1 per cent each, five component combination at 0.08 per cent each, or six component combination at 0.07 per cent each or seven component combination at 0.06 per cent each completely inhibited the progeny production of *S. oryzae* up to 515 days showing high efficacy of these oils as herbal fumigants.

Key words: Essential oils, herbal fumigants, fumigant toxicity, Rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae*, stored grain

India has got the presidency of G-20 for the year 2023. Among various major issues that has to be discussed, sustainable development and self-reliance through organic farming is one of them. In India approximately 10 per cent of food grains is lost during storage, half of which is accounted for insect pests (Narang, 2002). At present, insecticides and chemical fumigants are being employed in small and large scale storage facilities to control stored grain insect pest to avoid post-harvest losses. These insect pests control methods have certain adverse effects and drawbacks on health of consumers and environment due to their faulty applications and residual toxicity resulting in diseases like cancer, which is of great concern globally. So, switching on to organic farming may act as a decisive factor to overcome this problem. And in this context when we talk about organic farming then all natural methods come into mind. Here then, comes the roles

of botanicals and essential oils as an alternative for chemical-based control of pests in stored grains. Essential oils extracted from some plants have pest control properties, with some proving to be toxic (Don- Pedro, 1996; Clemente *et al.*, 2003), repellent (Pascual and Ballesta, 2003), antifeedant, ovicidal, or oviposition inhibitors in insect pests. These oils also reported to have fumigant toxicity (Singh *et al.*, 1989; Shaaya *et al.*, 1990, 1997; Tunc *et al.*, 2000; Tripathi *et al.*, 2002; Lee *et al.*, 2002, 2004; Ngamo *et al.*, 2007). In other studies, they have been proved effective as fumigants, grain protectants in solid or liquid form without even affecting the germination qualities of the grains (Tiwari, 1993; Tewari, 2008; Gangwar and Tiwari, 2017; Kumar and Tiwari, 2017; Kumar *et al.*, 2018; Joshi and Tiwari, 2019; Tewari and Tiwari, 2021a; 2021b; 2021c; 2021d; Kumari and Tiwari, 2022a; 2022b; 2022c). But very less work has been done to study the efficacy of these essential oils in different formulations. In view of above-mentioned facts, present study was undertaken to evaluate their efficacy on progeny production of *S.oryzae* which has been considered

*A part of Ph.D. Thesis submitted by senior author to G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar; Present Address: Department of Zoology, Govt. P.G. College, Bageshwar- 263642, Uttarakhand

very notorious pest of stored grains. Thus, essential oils have very promising future as grain protectant and playing crucial role to boost up the business of organic farming.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiments were conducted in Post-Harvest Entomology Laboratory of Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Udham Singh Nagar (Uttarakhand).

Development of Culture of Insects

Pure culture of test insects was developed in the control room maintained at $27^{\circ}\text{C}\pm 1$ temperature and $70\pm 5\%$ relative humidity. Plastic jars of about 1.0 kg capacity were used for rearing purpose. At the center of the lid a hole of 1.8 cm diameter was made and covered with 30 mesh copper wire net to facilitate aeration in the jar. The adults of *Sitophilus oryzae* were reared on the grain of wheat variety PBW-343. Before use, grains were disinfected in the oven at 60°C for 12 hrs. After disinfestation the moisture content of the grain was measured and raised to 13.5 per cent by mixing water in the grain. The quantity of water required to raise the moisture content was calculated by using following formula as described by Pixton (1967).

$$\text{Quantity of water to be added} = \frac{W_1(M_2 - M_1)}{100 - M_2}$$

Where,

W_1 =Initial weight of grains; M_1 =Initial moisture content; M_2 = Final moisture content

After mixing the water in grain it was kept in closed polythene bags for a week so that moisture content of grain could equilibrate. The grain was then filled in plastic jar and 100 adults were released in each jar after which it was kept in incubator. First generation adults (0-7 days old) were used for experimental purpose.

Preparation of Grain for Experiment

All fumigation experiments on *S. oryzae* were conducted on untreated graded seed of wheat variety PBW-343. Before use, the grains were disinfested

by keeping them in the oven at 60°C for 12 hrs. After disinfestation the moisture content of grain was measured and raised to 13.5 per cent by adding water in the required quantity to the grain as described in previous section. To ensure the even distribution of water, the grain was spread on a platform and water was sprayed on it using hand sprayer. The grain was then mixed thoroughly and closed in polythene bags for a week for equilibration of moisture content of grain. The grain (50g) was then filled in 100ml capacity plastic vials to perform experiment.

Procurement of Oil for Experiment

In order to ensure the purity and quality, the oils selected for the study were collected from the Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Research and Development Centre, Haldi and Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Field Station, Nagla (Uttarakhand) and Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Lucknow. The common and scientific name of plants, the oils of which were used in the experiment are given in Table 1.

Experimental Details

In this study seven oils found highly effective in fumigant toxicity test were used for formulating multi-ingredient compositions of herbal fumigant as per details given in Table 2. The experiment was conducted in control room at $27\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ temperature and $70\pm 5\%$ per cent relative humidity on wheat variety PBW-343 (13.5 per cent moisture content). Fifty-gram grain was filled in 100ml capacity plastic vial. Each treatment was replicated three times. After filling the grain in plastic vial 10 adults (0-7 days old) of *S. oryzae* were released in each vial. Measured quantity of component oil was soaked on Whatman No. 42 filter paper disc (3.5 cm diameter) in the ratio indicated in the Table 2. Then the paper disc was inserted in the vial and the vial was closed air tight. After closing the lid the vial was sealed with the help of paraffin wax strips and cello tape. The insects were allowed to complete generations on the treated grains. Vial in which insect population was observed was opened to record the number of adults emerged from it at specific days after fumigation. The last observations were recorded at 515, days after fumigation for *S. oryzae*, after which

experiment was terminated. After 515 days storage each jar was analysed to count the number of adults emerged and per cent inhibition by using the formula described by Adams and Schulten (1976).

$$\text{Per cent inhibition} = \frac{\text{Control} - \text{treated}}{\text{Control}} \times 100$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effect of different formulations of herbal fumigant on progeny production of *S. oryzae* is presented in Table 2, which indicates that all the formulations of herbal fumigants except *M. arvensis* oil, *C. winterianus* oil, *C. longa* oil at 0.2 per cent (v/w), *M. arvensis* + *C. longa* at 0.2 per cent each, *M. piperita* + *C. longa*, *C. longa* + *P. roxburghii*, *C. longa* + *M. arvensis* at 0.1 per cent each, *C. longa* + *M. arvensis* at 0.3+0.1 per cent completely inhibited the progeny production of *S. oryzae*. In grains treated with *M. arvensis*, *C. winterianus* and *C. longa* at 0.2 per cent concentration adults emerged within 92 days after fumigation. Suggesting *C. longa* oil to be least effective at 0.2 per cent followed by *M. arvensis* oil and *C. winterianus* oil. Kumari and Tiwari (2022c) also reported *C. longa* oil to be ineffective at 0.2 per cent in controlling population build-up, infestation and weight loss due to *S. oryzae*. Whereas oils of *M. piperita*, *M. spicata*, *E. citriodora* and *P. roxburghii* were highly effective at 0.2 per cent even after 515 days of fumigations, as no adults emerged. These essential oils, *M. arvensis*, *M. piperita*, *M. spicata*, *C. winterianus*, *E. citriodora*, *C. longa* and *P. roxburghii* proved highly promising at 0.4 per cent as not a single adult emerged even after 515 days of fumigation. Tewari and Tiwari (2021d) also reported 100 per cent inhibition of population build-up of *S. oryzae* at 0.4 per cent (v/w) on grain treated with *M. piperita* and *M. spicata* and 99.3, 98.5, 97.7 and 90.8 per cent with *P. roxburghii*, *M. arvensis*, *E. citriodora* and *C. winterianus* respectively. The two component formulations of *M. arvensis* with other six oils viz., *M. arvensis* + *M. piperita*, *M. arvensis* + *M. spicata*, *M. arvensis* + *C. winterianus*, *M. arvensis* + *E. citriodora*, *M. arvensis* + *P. roxburghii* oils at 0.2 + 0.2 per cent each showed complete suppression of insect population after 515 days of fumigation. Only

one formulation *M. arvensis* + *C. longa* oils at 0.2 + 0.2 per cent each was not effective as adults emerged from the treated vials within 92 days after fumigation. Similarly, the two component combinations of *M. piperita* with other five essential oils *M. piperita* + *M. spicata*, *M. piperita* + *C. winterianus*, *M. piperita* + *E. citriodora*, + *M. piperita* + *C. longa*, *M. piperita* + *P. roxburghii* was effective even 515 days after fumigation at 0.2 + 0.2 per cent each. Also, two component combination of *M. spicata* viz., *M. spicata* + *C. winterianus*, *M. spicata* + *E. citriodora*, *M. spicata* + *C. longa* and *M. spicata* + *P. roxburghii* completely checked progeny at 0.2 + 0.2 per cent each. In the same way, *C. winterianus* + *E. citriodora*, *C. winterianus* + *C. longa*, *C. winterianus* + *P. roxburghii*, *E. citriodora* + *C. longa*, *E. citriodora* + *P. roxburghii*, *C. longa* + *P. roxburghii* found to be highly effective at 0.2 + 0.2 per cent each concentration. This suggested that *M. piperita*, *M. spicata*, *C. winterianus*, *C. longa* and *P. roxburghii* oil has high efficacy and fumigant potential in comparison to *M. arvensis*. Three component combination at 0.14 per cent each of essential oils completely suppressed insect progeny even after 515 days of treatment suggesting their long-term efficacy. The four component combinations of above -mentioned essential oils at 0.1 per cent each also observed to be very promising as herbal fumigants by completely inhibiting population build-up of test insect on stored grains. Similar results were also obtained for treatments that included five component combination of oils at 0.08 per cent concentration each even after 515 days. The six component combinations of essential oils at 0.07 per cent each also resulted in complete inhibition of test insect progeny. Even the seven component combinations of *M. arvensis*+*M. piperita*+*M.*

Table 1: Scientific and common names of the plants, the essential oils of which was used for study

Sl no.	Scientific name	Common name
1	<i>Mentha arvensis</i>	Mint
2	<i>Mentha piperita</i>	Peppermint
3	<i>Mentha spicata</i>	Spearmint
4	<i>Cymbopogon winterianus</i>	Citronella
5	<i>Eucalyptus citriodora</i>	Nilgiri
6	<i>Curcuma longa</i>	Turmeric
7	<i>Pinus roxburghii</i>	Pine

Table 2: Effect of different formulation of herbal fumigants on progeny production of *S. oryzae*

Component	Dose % (v/w)	No. of adults emerged	Per cent Inhibition	Days after fumigation
<i>M. arvensis</i>	0.2	183.0± 183.0	65.7	92
<i>M. piperita</i>	0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. spicata</i>	0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>C. winterianus</i>	0.2	101.0 ± 51.0	81	92
<i>E. citriodora</i>	0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>C. longa</i>	0.2	379.0± 186.1	29	92
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i>	0.4	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i>	0.4	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. spicata</i>	0.4	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>C. winterianus</i>	0.4	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>E. citriodora</i>	0.4	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>C. longa</i>	0.4	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.4	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. spicata</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.2+0.2	124.0± 124.0	76.8	92
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. spicata</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. spicata</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.14E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515

<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. spicata</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. spicata</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.14 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. spicata</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515

<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.08 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.07 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.07 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.07 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.07 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.07 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.07 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.07 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. arvensis</i> + <i>M. piperita</i> + <i>M. spicata</i> + <i>C. winterianus</i> + <i>E. citriodora</i> + <i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.06 E	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>C. longa</i>	0.1+0.1	185.3 ± 98.0	65.3	92
<i>M. piperita</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1+0.1	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1+0.1	617.0 ± 13.1	-15.5	92
<i>C. longa</i> + <i>M. arvensis</i>	0.1+ 0.1	480.3 ± 69.0	10	92
<i>C. longa</i> + <i>M. arvensis</i>	0.3+ 0.1	381.3±117.3	28.6	92
<i>P. roxburghii</i> + <i>M. arvensis</i>	0.1+0.1	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
<i>P. roxburghii</i> + <i>M. arvensis</i>	0.3+0.1	0.0 ± 0.0	100	515
Untreated control	-	534.0 ± 82.2	0	92

(E=each)

spicata+*C. winterianus*+*E. citriodora*+*C. longa* +*P. roxburghii* at 0.06 per cent each also had tremendous inhibiting effect on test insect progeny. Tewari and Tiwari (2021c) also found different formulations of seven essential oils *M. arvensis*, *M. piperita*, *M. spicata*, *C. winterianus*, *E. citriodora*, *E. globulus* and *P. roxburghii* effective at 0.20, 0.40, 0.14 or 0.13, 0.10, 0.08, 0.07, 0.06 per cent against *S. oryzae* and *R. dominica*. On the other hand, combinations of *M. piperita* + *P. roxburghii* and *P. roxburghii*+ *M.*

arvensis at 0.1 + 0.1 per cent each and *P. roxburghii* + *M. arvensis* at 0.3+0.1 per cent each gave similar results. But combination of essential oils that included *M. piperita* + *C. longa*; *C. longa* + *P. roxburghii* and *C. longa* + *M. arvensis* at 0.1+0.1 per cent each was unable to suppress insect progeny. Whereas, high population build up was recorded from untreated control within 92 days after fumigation.

CONCLUSION

Herbal fumigants used at 0.4 per cent with sole component of *M. arvensis*, *M. piperita*, *M. spicata*, *C. winterianus*, *E. citriodora*, *C. longa* or *P. roxburghii* oil or their two component combination at 0.2 per cent each except *M. arvensis* + *C. longa*, their three component combination at 0.14 per cent each, four component combination at 0.1 per cent each, five component combination at 0.08 per cent each, or six component combination at 0.07 per cent each or seven component combination at 0.06 per cent each completely inhibited the progeny production of *S. oryzae* up to 515 days. Whereas, herbal fumigants used at 0.2 per cent with sole component of *M. piperita*, *M. spicata*, *E. citriodora*, or *P. roxburghii* oil except for *M. arvensis*, *C. winterianus* and *C. longa* also completely inhibited progeny production. Apart from this, *M. arvensis* and *C. longa* was not found effective as sole component at 0.2 per cent and in combination at 0.2 + 0.2, 0.1 + 0.1 and 0.3 + 0.1 per cent each suggesting their lower efficacy as herbal fumigant. All these findings pointed out that, the lower the concentrations of oils and more the component combinations, highest the synergistic effect of oils in inhibiting the progeny production of *S. oryzae*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are highly grateful to Joint Director of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Research and Development Centre, Pantnagar, Directors of Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Field Station, Nagla, U. S. Nagar (Uttarakhand) and Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Lucknow for providing experimental material for the research.

REFERENCES

- Adams, J. M. and Schulten, G. G. M. (1976). Losses caused by insects, mites and micro-organisms. In: American Association of Cereal Chemists. Postharvest Grain Loss Methods. Slough, Pp. 83-93.
- Clemente, S., Mareggiani, G., Broussalis, A., Martino, V., Ferraro, G. (2003). Insecticidal effects of Lamiaceae species against stored product insects. *Boletín de Sanidad Vegetal*, 29: 1-8.
- Don-Pedro, K.N. (1996). Fumigant toxicity of citrus peel oils against adult and immature stages of storage insect. *Pestic. Sci.*, 47(3): 213-223.
- Gangwar, P. and Tiwari, S. N. (2017). Insecticidal activity of *Curcuma longa* essential oil and its fractions against *Sitophilus oryzae* L. and *Rhyzopertha dominica* F. (Coleoptera). *Indian Journal of Pure & Applied Biosciences*, 5: 912-921
- Joshi, R. and Tiwari, S. N. (2019). Fumigant toxicity and repellent activity of some essential oils against stored grain pest *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Fabricius). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8(4): 59-62.
- Kumar, R. and Tiwari, S. N. (2017). Fumigant toxicity of essential oils and their combination against *Sitophilus oryzae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) at different days interval in stored wheat. *Journal of Postharvest Technology*, 4 (2): S06-S10
- Kumar, R., Tiwari, S. N. and Pandey, P. S. (2018). Fumigant toxicity of essential oils and their combinations on population build-up of three stored product coleoptera in stored wheat and effect on quality of wheat. 12th International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection (IWCSPP) in Berlin, Germany, October 7-11, 2018. 680-687.
- Kumari, D. and Tiwari, S. N. (2022a). Long term efficacy of seven essential oils against *Sitophilus oryzae* (Linnaeus), *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Fabricius) and *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst). *Pantnagar Journal of Research*, 20(2): 221-228.
- Kumari, D. and Tiwari, S. N. (2022b). Long-term efficacy of nineteen essential oils against *Corcyra cephalonica* (Stainton), *Sitotroga cerealella* (Olivier) and *Callosobruchus chinensis* (Linnaeus). *Pantnagar Journal of Research*, 20(3): 412-424.
- Kumari, D. and Tiwari, S. N. (2022c). Long - term

- efficacy of some herbal fumigants against *Sitophilus oryzae* (Linnaeus), *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Fabricius) and *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst). *Pantnagar Journal of Research*, 20(3): 425-434.
- Lee, B. H., Lee, S. E., Annis, P. C., Pratt, S. J., Park, B. S. and Tumaalii, F. (2002). Fumigant toxicity of essential oils and monoterpenes against the red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum* Herbs. *J. Asia Pacif. Entomol.*, 5(2): 237-240.
- Lee, B. H., Annis, P. C., Tumaalii, F. and Lee, S. E. (2004). Fumigant toxicity of *Eucalyptus blakelyi* and *Melaleuca fulgens* essential oils and 1, 8-cineole against different developmental stages of rice weevil. *Phytoparasitica*, 32(5): 498-506.
- Narang, D. D. (2002). Banish the pests feeding on our grain. In: The Tribune. September 23, 2002. Chandigarh, India.
- Ngamo, T. S. L., Ngatanko, I., Ngassou, M. B., Mapongmestsem, P. M. and Hance, T. (2007). Insecticidal efficiency of essential oils of 5 aromatic plants tested both alone and in combination towards *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Res. J. Biol. Sci.*, 2(1): 75-80.
- Pascual, V. M. J. and Ballesta, A. M. C. (2003). Chemical variation in an *Ocimum basilicum* germplasm collection and activity of the essential oils on *Callosobruchus maculatus*. *Biochem. Syst. and Ecol.*, 31 (7): 673-679.
- Pixton, S.W. (1967). Moisture content—its significance and measurement in stored products. *J. Stored Prod. Res.*, 3: 35-37.
- Shaaya, E., Ravid, U., Paster, N., Juven, B., Zisman, U. and Pissarev, V. (1990). Fumigant toxicity of essential oils against four major stored product insects. *J. Chem. Ecol. NY*, 17(3): 499-504.
- Shaaya, E.; Kostjukovski, M.; Eilberg, J. and Sukprakarn, C. (1997). Plant oils as fumigants and contact insecticides for the control of stored product insects. *J. Stored Prod. Res.*, 33(1): 7-15.
- Singh, D., Siddiqui, M.S. and Sharma, S. (1989). Reproduction retardant and fumigant properties in essential oils against rice weevil (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in stored wheat. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, 82: 727-733.
- Tewari, N. (2008). Fumigant toxicity of some essential oils against *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Fabricius) and *Sitophilus oryzae* (Linnaeus). Thesis, Ph.D, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. 302 p.
- Tewari, N. and Tiwari, S.N. (2021a). Effect of sixteen essential oils on the progeny production of *Sitophilus oryzae* (Linnaeus). *Pantnagar Journal of Research*, 19(2): 187-194.
- Tewari, N. and Tiwari, S.N. (2021b). Bio-efficacy of some essential oils as fumigant against Lesser grain borer, *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Fab.). *Pantnagar Journal of Research*, 19(2): 195-203.
- Tewari, N. and Tiwari, S.N. (2021c). Fumigant toxicity of some essential oils and their combinations against *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Fabricius) and *Sitophilus oryzae* (Linnaeus). *Pantnagar Journal of Research*, 19(3): 389-399.
- Tewari, N. and Tiwari, S.N. (2021d). Long term efficacy of some essential oils against *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Fabricius) and *Sitophilus oryzae* (Linnaeus). *Pantnagar Journal of Research*, 19(3): 400-407.
- Tiwari, S. N. (1993). Efficacy of some plant products as grain protectant against *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.). *J. Insect Sci.*, 6: 158-160.
- Tripathi, A.K., Prajapati, V., Verma, N., Bahl, J.R., Bansal, R.P., Khanuja, S.P.S. and Kumar, S. (2002). Bioactivities of the leaf essential oil of *Curcuma longa* (var. Ch-66) on three species of stored product beetles (Coleoptera). *J. Eco. Entomol.*, 95(1): 183-189.
- Tunc, I., Berger, B.M., Erler, F. and Dagli, F. (2000). Ovicidal activity of essential oils from five plant against two stored product insects. *J. Stored Prod. Res.*, 36(2): 161-168.

Received: April 15, 2023

Accepted: April 25, 2023